

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,629	12/12/2001	Wilhelm Rademacher	50061	9694	
26474 7550 082772010 NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA + QUIGG LLP 300 NEW JERSEY AVENUE NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
FIFTH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				ı	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/009.629 RADEMACHER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ALTON N. PRYOR 1616 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6.7.9-12.14 and 15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,9-12,14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,629

Art Unit: 1616

#### DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments, see paper, filed 5/21/10, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6,7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard (USPN 6238673; 5/29/01). Howard teaches that grape extracts contain polyphenols including flavonoids (abstract, column 1 lines 12-20, column 2 lines 25-41). Howard does not teach that the polyphenols including the flavonoids increased as a result of treating the grapevine plant with the acylcyclohexanedione of formula I. However, Howard revealed that grape wine extracts inherently contain polyphenols including flavonoids. There are no data provided in the specification to show how much the polyphenols including flavonoids increased as a result of treating the plant with the acylcyclohexanedione of formula I. Note, claims are to compositions; therefore a statement to intended use recited therein has patentable significance as recited in claim

Application/Control Number: 10/009,629

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 6,7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard (USPN 6099854; 8/8/00). Howard teaches that grape extracts contain polyphenols including flavonoids (abstract, column 1 lines 51-65, claims 1-3,10). Howard does not teach that the polyphenols including the flavonoids increased as a result of treating the grapevine plant with the acylcyclohexanedione of formula I. However, Howard revealed that grape wine extracts inherently contain polyphenols including flavonoids. There are no data provided in the specification to show how much the polyphenols including flavonoids increased as a result of treating the plant with the acylcyclohexanedione of formula I. Note, claims are to compositions; therefore a statement to intended use recited therein has patentable significance as recited in claim 15.

# Allowable Subject Matter

At the preappeal conferences it was decided that previous rejections of record be withdrawn and that claims 1-4, 9-12 and 14 be indicated allowable. The prior art does not teach instant method of increasing flavoniods and other phenolic constitutents wherein acylcyclohexanedione of formula I is applied to a grape or hop plant.

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALTON N. PRYOR whose telephone number is (571)272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alton N. Pryor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616